

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/887,042

Applicant(s)

ASANO ET AL.

Examiner

DON N. VO

Art Unit

2631

All Participants:(1) DON N. VO.(2) Catherine M. Voorhees (Reg. No. 33,074).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 March 2006**Time:** 9:40 AM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney of record was informed that a new statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) was needed. MPEP 324 was also suggested. Moreover, the Substitute Specification filed on 9/16/03 was going to be amended by Examiner Amendment to include the cross-reference to the related applications. .